

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 17, 2005 LB 739

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: It could be looked at that way, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Is there any place in the bill where a nearly 50 percent increase in paying into the fund is placed on employers?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Not in percentages. I would give you in dollars, many, many more dollars.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, no. We want to talk about percentages because that's the way I'm dealing with this that I'm talking about, as a percentage of suffering, that for certain employees...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Time. Thank you, Senators. (Visitors introduced.) Thank you. Senator Beutler, your light is on next.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Cunningham, if we could talk a little bit more.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Senator Cunningham, would you yield?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Yes, I would.

SENATOR BEUTLER: I want to go back and just finish quickly the topic I was going through before, with respect to the emergency surcharge and the fact that, on page 27, the maximum benefit is frozen if it goes below the .4 standard. You had a handout that looked like this, got all the multicolored stuff at the top and starts out with "Unemployment Insurance"; has your name and the Vice Chair's name on it.

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Right.

SENATOR BEUTLER: And somewhere about halfway through, there's a page that says: Effective 2007, benefits will not increase in years when the state's reserve ratio is...and then for the outgoing, out years, below .80, .85 percent on September 30, 2009, and each year thereafter. Is that a different reserve